

Development and evaluation of gastro-retentive floating acyclovir tablets

¹ Zafar S, ² Dr. CC Patil, ³ Dr. Raghavendra V Kulkarni, ⁴ Javdekar D, ⁵ Mulla M, ⁶ Bagewadi S

¹ B.L.D.E.A's Collage of pharmacy Bijapur, Karnataka. India

² Dept. Head & HOD of Pharmaceutics, B.L.D.E.A's Collage of pharmacy, Bijapur Karnataka, Karnataka, India

³ Professor & Head, Dept. of Pharmaceutical, Technology B.L.D.E.A's Collage of Pharmacy, Bijapur Karnataka, India

⁴ R & D Manager Fertin India, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

⁵ Principal Scientist Fertin India, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

⁶ Jr Scientist Fertin India, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

Abstract

In the present study an attempt was made to prepare acyclovir floating tablets. Acyclovir floating tablets (200mg) were prepared by direct compression method using HPMC, sodium carboxy methyl cellulose and carbopol with an effervescent base (sod. Bicarbonate and citric acid). FTIR study confirmed the absence of any drug/polymer/excipients interactions. The prepared floating tablets were evaluated for hardness, weight variation, thickness, friability, drug content uniformity, buoyancy lag time, total floating time, swelling index and in vitro dissolution studies. Among all the 12 formulations F1, F2, F3, F4, F5, F6, F10, F11, F12 showed good floating property while formulations F7, F8, F9 showed moderate floating while all the 12 formulations showed controlled drug release. Stability studies were carried out for F4 and F10, both the formulations showed good stability. It was observed that F4 and F10 gave maximum drug release upto 97.17% within 24 hrs. SEM study indicates that both the tablets F4 & F10 have smooth and uniform surface before the dissolution study, but after the dissolution study, the Tablet F4 which was prepared with sod.CMC has shown erosion of the polymer matrix. But the Tablet F10 have shown spongy like structure, the matrix was swollen and pores were created.

Key words: gastro-retentive, acyclovir, swelling index, gas generating agent

Introduction

The goal in designing sustained and controlled release is to reduce frequency of dosing or increase effectiveness of the drug by localization at site of action, reducing dose frequency, providing uniform drug delivery [11]. The current controlled release technology had made it possible to release drugs at a constant release rate for longer periods of time ranging from days to years. However, this benefit had not satisfied a variety of important drugs that

- i) are locally active in the stomach,
- ii) Have an absorption window in the stomach or in the upper small intestine,
- iii) Are unstable in the intestinal or colonic environment,
- iv) Exhibit low solubilities at high pH values.

These limits promoted the development of gastro retentive drug delivery systems (GRDDS). Besides being able to continually and sustainably deliver drugs to the small intestinal absorption window, the improvements provided from GRDDS include: achieving a greater and prolonged therapeutic effect and thus reducing the frequency of administration periods, providing a more effective treatment of local stomach disorders, and minimizing both lower-tract inactivation of the drug and drug effects on the lower intestinal flora [5].

From the recent scientific and patent literatures that an increased interest in novel oral controlled release dosage forms that designed to be retained in the GIT for a prolonged and predictable period of time exists today Several approaches are currently utilized in the prolongation of the gastric residence times (GRT), including floating drug delivery systems (FDDS),

low-density systems, raft system Floating drug delivery systems (FDDS) or hydrodynamic ally controlled systems are low-density systems that have sufficient buoyancy to float over the gastric contents and remain buoyant in the stomach without affecting the gastric emptying rate for a prolonged period of time. While the system is floating on the gastric contents, the drug is released slowly at the desired rate from the system. After release of drug, the residual system is emptied from the stomach. This results in an increased GRT and a better control of the fluctuations in plasma drug concentration.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Acyclovir was received as a gift sample from Lincoln Pvt Ltd Gujrat. Hydroxy propyl methyl cellulose was obtained from Yarrow chemicals Mumbai. Sodium carboxy methyl cellulose, Micro crystalline cellulose and carbopol was obtained from International Mumbai

Methods: Standard calibration curve for Acyclovir in pH (1.2) 0.1 N HCL

i) Solution 1st

Accurately weighed 100 mg of Acyclovir (pure drug) was dissolved in sufficient quantity of 0.1N Hcl and the volume was made upto 100 mL with 0.1N Hcl (1000 µg/mL). Further dilution was made to give stock solution 100µg/ml [63].

Solution 2nd

From this 1st stock solution, 1 ml was pipetted out and

transferred in to a 100 ml volumetric flask and volume was made up to 100 ml with 0.1 N HCl which contained the concentration of 10 µg/ml (2nd stock solution). From 2nd stock solution aliquots equivalent to 1-5 µg (1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 ml) were pipette out in to a series of 10 ml volumetric flask and volume was made up to 10 ml with 0.1 N HCl. The absorbance of these solutions was measured against the 0.1 N HCl as blank at 255nm using UV-Visible double beam spectrophotometer.

Preparation of Floating Tablets

Floating tablets has been prepared by direct compression method. HPMC, Sodium carboxy methyl cellulose, Carbopol, sodium bicarbonate, citric acid, microcrystalline cellulose and the active ingredient were sieved through sieve no. 60 and mixed homogeneously. Magnesium stearate, talc were added as a lubricant and the powder was compressed into tablets using Rotary tablet punch machine [64].

Formulation Table

Table 1

Formulation Code	Drug (Mg)	HPMC (Mg)	SOD. CMC (mg)	Carbopol (mg)	NaHCO3 (mg)	Citric acid (mg)	MCC (mg)	Lactose (mg)	Total Wt. (mg)
F1	200	160	-	-	50	25	25	40	500
F2	200	140	-	-	50	25	25	60	500
F3	200	120	-	-	50	25	25	80	500
F4	200	-	80	-	50	25	25	120	500
F5	200	-	70	-	50	25	25	130	500
F6	200	-	60	-	50	25	25	140	500
F7	200	-	-	100	50	25	25	100	500
F8	200	-	-	120	50	25	25	80	500
F9	200	-	-	140	50	25	25	60	500
F10	200	80	40	-	50	25	25	80	500
F11	200	-	100	50	50	25	25	50	500
F12	200	100	-	50	50	25	25	50	500

Evaluation of pre compression parameters of powder Bulk density and tapped density

$$LBD = \frac{\text{Weight of the powder}}{\text{Volume of the packing}}$$

$$TBD = \frac{\text{Weight of the powder}}{\text{Tapped volume of packing}}$$

Percentage Compressibility or Carr’s index:

Carr’s Index (%) -----

Determination of swelling index

$$\text{Swelling index} = \frac{\text{(Wet weight of tablet - Dry weight of tablet)}}{\text{Dry weight of tablet}}$$

Scanning electron microscopy

Scanning electron microscopy was performed to characterize the surface morphology of the formed tablets and this was done by using a JSM 6100 JEOL Scanning electron microscope at 20 kV. Prior to examination, samples were gold-coated to render them electrically conductive and examined under the microscope [72].

Drug-polymer interaction by FT-IR:

Drug polymer interaction was studied by taking FT-IR. Infrared spectra of acyclovir, HPMC and drug floating tablets were carried out by using KBR pellet technique and were recorded on a shimadzu FT-IR




Fig 1: Standard calibration curve of Acyclovir in 0.1N HCl

IR spectrum




Fig 2: IR spectrum of pure drug.

Pre-Compression Evaluation of Acyclovir Floating Tablets

Table 2: Pre-compression parameters of Acyclovir floating tablets

Formulations	Bulk density(g/ml)	Tapped density(g/ml)	Carr, s compressibility index (%)	Angle of Repose(θ)
F1	0.55	0.62	11.29	15.60
F2	0.48	0.63	23.0	25.60
F3	0.47	0.632	25.39	30.17
F4	0.490	0.65	24.6	30.1
F5	0.58	0.62	6.45	16.69
F6	0.49	0.63	7.9	17.7
F7	0.57	0.63	9.5	26.56
F8	0.59	0.68	13.23	27.51
F9	0.66	0.66	7.04	16.08
F10	0.57	0.64	10.93	21.09
F11	0.65	0.77	15.58	23.62
F12	0.55	0.61	9.8	17.17

Evaluation of tablet

Table 3: Evaluation data of Acyclovir floating tablets

Formulation	Thickness (mm) n=20	Weight variation n=20	Hardness (Kg/cm ²)	Friability %	Floating time (hrs)	Floating lag time	Drug content (%)
F1	2.788± 0.057	489.5±0.933	6.3±0.5	0.4± 0.057	22	60 sec	97
F2	2.948± 0.066	488± 0.882	6.0±0.1	0.32± 0.055	18	75 sec	90.35
F3	2.904± 0.055	487.5± 0.825	6.0±0.5	0.56± 0.015	12	90 sec	94.1
F4	2.724± 0.000	491.5± 0.887	5.8±0.7	0.44± 0.010	18	80 sec	99.6
F5	2.835± 0.057	491.5±0.833	5.6±0.5	0.85± 0.011	16	95 sec	98.65
F6	3.154± 0.010	493.5± 0.951	5.4±0.8	0.80± 0.090	13	70 sec	97.0
F7	3.258± 0.049	487.5± 0.887	6.2±0.5	0.52± 0.060	7	120 sec	89.35
F8	2.687± 0.052	485.7± 0.833	6.0±0.3	0.81± 0.011	6-5	145 sec	89.0
F9	2.876± 0.057	488.5± 0.812	6.1±0.5	0.73± 0.010	5	160 sec	89.9
F10	3.3892± 0.000	489.5± 0.852	5.6±0.5	0.56± 0.017	>24	45 sec	99.2
F11	2.751± 0.100	486.5± 0.812	6.0±0.3	0.89± 0.010	8-12	90 sec	89.45
F12	2.893± 0.100	489.5±0.933	6.3±0.7	0.93± 0.010	12-18	120 sec	94.8

Table 4: Swelling index of acyclovir floating tablets.

Time (hr.)	0.5	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0
F1	22.48	36.54	52.61	64.65	80.72	94.77
F2	19.60	33.33	48.47	61.60	76.78	96.94
F3	16.46	31.52	43.57	60.64	75.70	91.76
F4	25.75	38.83	50.90	64.98	81.08	93.15
F5	24.74	35.81	48.89	65.99	78.06	93.15
F6	18.95	38.10	48.18	62.29	77.41	85.48
F7	17.47	28.51	42.57	58.63	72.69	84.73
F8	13.68	23.13	39.63	53.92	67.00	81.08
F9	9.47	20.56	32.05	49.19	63.91	77.41
F10	15.55	27.47	40.41	66.46	75.96	100.60
F11	11.11	19.79	34.35	49.89	65.56	78.99
F12	27.51	42.57	57.63	68.47	91.76	161.0




Fig 3: Swelling index of acyclovir floating tablets.

Discussion

The main aim of this work was to develop new floating tablets of Acyclovir to increase its oral bioavailability by prolonging its gastric residence time and allowed to float in the stomach for a long period.

In the present dissertation floating tablets of Acyclovir were prepared by direct compression method using three semi-synthetic polymers HPMC, Sodium CMC, and Carbopol.

Acyclovir floating tablets were prepared using HPMC (F1, F2 & F3), Sod. CMC (F4, F5 & F6), Carbopol (F7, F8 & F9), HPMC & Sod. CMC (F10), Sod.

CMC & Carbopol (F11), HPMC & Carbopol (F12). The powder evaluation suggested that all the prepared powders exhibited good flow properties, as the angle of repose value were less than 300 (Table 9). A good packing ability of the powder was indicated by car, s compressibility index (Table 9). The weight, Thickness and drug contents of all the tablets were found to be uniform. The hardness was in the range of 5.4 to 6.3 kg/cm² and friability was in the range of 0.32 to 0.93 % and drug content was in the range of 89.0 % to 99.6 %. (Table 10).

The FTIR study indicated that the characteristic's peaks related to drug were also noticed in the spectra of drug & other polymers. Hence there is no drug –polymer interaction.

Among all the formulations F4 & F10 formulations were optimized based on floating time and drug release profile. The floating study of the prepared tablets was carried out in 0.1N HCL buffer and the results are shown in Table.10. Formulation F4 containing Sod. CMC and formulation F10 containing combination of HPMC & sod

CMC found to be best not only in floating behavior but also in best drug release profile.

The polymers used were of low density, highly swell able in shortest possible time and which upon contact with water; a hydrogel layer is formed to act as a gel would be gel boundary for the release of drug. Mixture of citric acid and sodium bicarbonate was incorporated in the formulation in such a way that when it contact with the acidic gastric contents, CO₂ is liberated and gets entrapped in swollen polymers, which provides buoyancy to the dosage form.

The swelling study of the prepared tablets was carried out in 0.1N HCL buffer and the results are shown in Figure 11. The swelling behavior of tablets was expressed as the ratio of initial

weight of tablet to the final weight of swollen tablet as a function of time. In formulations maximum swelling was seen with the formulation containing HPMC along with Sod. CMC (F10) & HPMC along with Carbopol (F12). Results indicate that as the concentration of HPMC increases the swelling index increases.

The scanning electronic microscopy (Figure 27) was used to know the surface texture of polymeric matrix before and after the dissolution studies. Both the tablets F4 (A) and F10 (B) have shown smooth and uniform surface before the dissolution study. But after the dissolution study, both the tablets has become porous & rough.

The in-vitro drug release study was performed using dissolution rate test apparatus in 0.1 N HCl (pH 1.2) till end of the study. The dissolution profiles are given in Figure 15 to 26 and data are presented in Tables 12 to 16. From dissolution data it is evident that designed formulations have displayed in the range of 86.59% to 97.45% drug release in 24hrs.

Among all the formulations, formulation F4 containing Sod. CMC & formulation F10 containing HPMC & Sod. CMC showed maximum drug release of 96.51% and 97.45% respectively at the end of 24 hr.

In vitro release data of all the formulation were plotted for various graphs like release plots (fig.no.15 to 26).

All the formulation prepared, released the drug by zero order (higher R² value than first order).

To know the diffusion mechanism the slope values of peppas equation were calculated for all the formulations and were in the range of 0.666 to 0.7694. The calculated slope values are more than 0.5 in all the cases suggesting that the drug was released by non-fickian diffusion mechanism.

All the formulation were subjected for short term stability studies. It was observed for drug content at 40^o for 1 month. There is no physical changes in appearance, flexibility and colour. The % of degradation with respect to drug content was 2-3% thus the formulations were stable. Based on the results of evaluations data of all the 12 formulations F4 & F10 were optimized because of their good gastro retentive property in the stomach and sustained release data

Reference

1. Ray-Neng C, Hsiu-O H, Chiao-Ya Y, Ming-Thau S.

- Development of swelling/floating gastroretentive drug delivery system based on a combination of hydroxyethyl cellulose and sodium carboxymethyl cellulose for Losartan and its clinical relevance in healthy volunteers with CYP2C9 polymorphism. *Eur. J. of Pharm. Sci.* 2010; 10(39): 82–89.
2. Gopalakrishnan S, Chenthilnathan A. Floating Drug Delivery Systems: A Review. *Ind. J. of Pharm. Sci. and Tech.* 2011; 3(2): 548-554.
 3. JECFA. Metals and arsenic specifications revised. Published in FNP 31/2 (1984) and in FNP 52 (1992).
 4. I.P 2010; volume II.
 5. Sarambi PS, Sonawane A, Malipati SM, Hiremath B, Faheem A. Spectrophotometric estimation of Ganciclovir in bulk drug and its formulation. *Int. J. of Pharm. Tech Res.* 2010; 2(2): 1264-1268.
 6. Sandip BS, Mahesh BN, Gautam DM, Prasad VR, Amit M P. Formulation and evaluation of gastroretentive floating tablets of acyclovir. *World J. of Pharmacy and Pharm. Sci.* 2012; 1(4): 1402-1412.
 7. Dickson RB, Rajeshbhai TH, Sureshkumar P, Jamsheer AKK, Senthil A. Formulation and evaluation of gastroretentive floating tablets of Glipizide. *Int. J. of Res. in Ayurveda & Pharmacy.* 2011; 2(3): 911-917.
 8. Chandira RM, Bhowmik D, Jayakar CB. Formulation and evaluation of gastroretentive drug delivery system of gastroprokinetic drug itopride hydrochloride. *Int. J. of Pharm. Tech Res.* 2010; 2(1): 53-65.